

Formalizing Hyperbolic Geometry

ai4math Workshop 2026

Théo Tyburn

August 1, 2026

AI is now good at autoformalization

- ▶ **2016**: Maryna Viazovska proves Sphere Packing theorem in dimension 8
- ▶ **2024**: Start of the formalization project.
- ▶ **Nov 2025**: Math Inc. starts contributing.
- ▶ **Feb 2026**: Gauss AI agent (Math Inc.) formalizes the proof autonomously.

Questions

Is formalization an interesting topic for a Master Thesis in mathematics?

- ▶ is it mathematical enough?
- ▶ is it not just computer science?
- ▶ can it not just be done with AI?

Can a math Master student do formalization successfully?

Goal of this talk

- ▶ Report on the process of **formalizing** standard mathematics from a **student**'s point of view (process, difficulties, tools)
- ▶ Motivate students and advisors.

The Thesis

Project Goals:

- ▶ Formalize aspects of **hyperbolic geometry** in Lean
- ▶ Integration into **Mathlib**

Motivation:

- ▶ Learn **Lean** and Mathlib
- ▶ Solidify my **mathematical understanding**

Advisors:

- ▶ **Michael Rothgang** (Uni Bonn): Lean, Differential Geometry
- ▶ **Jan Techter** (TU Berlin): Projective Geometry

My Background

Geometry:

- ▶ **Projective Geometry** / Cayley-Klein Geometries / Erlangen Program
- ▶ **Differential Geometry**

Formalization:

- ▶ **Lean** FU Block-Seminar (2 weeks)

Programming:

- ▶ Familiarity with **functional programming** languages (Haskell, Lisp, ML)

Which definition of hyperbolic geometry ?

There are multiple equivalent approaches to defining hyperbolic geometry:

Synthetic (axiomatic)

- ▶ Euclid's axioms without the parallel postulate

Projective (Cayley–Klein)

- ▶ $\{[x] \in \mathbb{R}P^n \mid q(x, x) < 0\}$, with q a symmetric bilinear form of signature $(n, 1)$

Riemannian (differential-geometric)

- ▶ simply connected Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature of -1 .

Building on top of Mathlib

Previous work:

- ▶ **Upper Half-Space** model (as a metric space)

Available building blocks:

- ▶ Some **Differential Geometry**
 - ▶ Manifolds
 - ▶ Riemannian metric
 - ▶ Geodesics (soon)
- ▶ Less **Projective Geometry** (missing projections, quadrics).

→ We chose the differential geometric approach.

Scope of the formalization

How much do we want to formalize ?

- ▶ Which **model(s)** of hyperbolic geometry ?
- ▶ Which **dimension(s)** ?
- ▶ What level of **generality** best serves future users?

→ We decided to start with the **Poincaré Disk** model

Design choices

Which **types**, **structures**, or **typeclasses** are most appropriate?

Naive:

```
def PoincareDisk : Set  $\mathbb{C}$  := Metric.ball (0 :  $\mathbb{C}$ ) 1
```

Better:

```
structure PoincareDisk where  
  protected coe :  $\mathbb{C}$   
  coe_norm_lt_one : Complex.normSq coe < 1
```

→ Needs to align with Mathlib's existing **design patterns**.

Let's formalize !

Formalization challenges

Navigating Mathlib:

- ▶ **where** is this theorem?
- ▶ how is it **called**?
- ▶ in which level of **abstraction** is it formulated?
- ▶ does it even **exist**?

Type theory as a language to express mathematics

- ▶ function of multiple arguments need to be **curried**
- ▶ some **conventions**: forall, conjunctions
- ▶ **coercion**: "we can see this object as an element of ..."

Type theory conventions

Lean makes an effort to be **accessible to mathematicians**.
But for usability it has to stick to some **type theory conventions**

```
example (n : ℝ) (h : 1 < n) : 0 < n := by linarith
```

is preferred over

```
example (n : ℝ) : 1 < n → 0 < n := fun h ↦ by linarith
```

and

```
example (n : ℕ) : 0 ≤ n := Nat.zero_le n
```

is preferred over

```
example : ∀ (n : ℕ), 0 ≤ n := Nat.zero_le
```

Tools to the rescue

Tools to navigate Mathlib:

- ▶ Mathlib documentation
- ▶ Lean Search
- ▶ Loogle
- ▶ Mathlib Phrasebook
- ▶ Zulip
- ▶ AI
- ▶ Your advisor

Tools to navigate Type Theory:

- ▶ Editor
- ▶ Error messages
- ▶ AI
- ▶ Your advisor

Tools to collaborate:

- ▶ git / codeberg
- ▶ `https://live.lean-lang.org/`

AI Tools

Explored AI Tools:

- ▶ Aristotle
- ▶ Gemini

Used for:

- ▶ navigating **Mathlib**: find the right theorem
- ▶ get used to **type theory**: "how to do this in Lean ?"
- ▶ **scaffolding** proofs
- ▶ correcting **syntax**

Can AI finish my thesis?

→ Fable seems promising.

Should not go against main goals of the project:

- ▶ learn how to formalize,
- ▶ meet Mathlib quality standards.

Community-Driven Formalization

Mathlib is:

- ▶ a **currated library** of mathematics,
- ▶ an **open source** software project,
- ▶ a mathematical **community**.

→ Mathlib's structure reflects the community's **mental model** of mathematics.

Progress and Next Steps

Current Status:

Initial formalization of the Poincaré Disk model

- ▶ Smooth Manifold
- ▶ Riemannian metric

Ongoing:

- ▶ Isometries
- ▶ Levi-Civita, Curvature
- ▶ Geodesics, Triangles
- ▶ Gauss-Bonnet ?

Conclusion

Takeaways:

- ▶ Formalizing mathematics in Lean is both **challenging** and **rewarding**
- ▶ **Community-driven** libraries like Mathlib make formalization a proper mathematical activity.
- ▶ **Type Theory** is difficult but quite interesting in its own right.

Invitation:

- ▶ Try to formalize things you know.
- ▶ Find people in the community to onboard you.

Thank you!

Links:

- ▶ Lean Theorem Prover: <https://lean-lang.org/>
- ▶ Mathlib: <https://leanprover-community.github.io/>
- ▶ Mathlib Phrasebook: <https://leanprover-community.github.io/mathlib-phrasebook/>